

Recapitulation

So far we have read logical connectives, truth table. For 5 basic connectives AND (\wedge), OR (\vee), NOT (\sim), Implication (\rightarrow) and bicondition (\leftrightarrow), we found Truth Tables (TT) too.

We learnt that AND is also called conjunction, OR is also called Disjunction. Symbols of AND, OR, NOT ~~are~~ are not unique. Like

AND - ... \wedge , \cdot [Ex: $P_1 \wedge P_2$ is same as $P_1 \cdot P_2$]

OR - ... \vee , $+$ [Ex: $P_1 \vee P_2$ is same as $P_1 + P_2$]

NOT - ... \sim , $-$, $'$ [Ex: $\sim P_1$, $-P_1$, P_1' are all same]

Implication - ... \rightarrow , \Rightarrow [Ex: $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$, $P_1 \Rightarrow P_2$ are same]
(if P_1 then P_2)

Bicondition - ... \leftrightarrow , \Leftrightarrow , \bullet [Ex: $P_1 \leftrightarrow P_2$, $P_1 \Leftrightarrow P_2$ are same]
(P_1 iff P_2)

We have learnt that any proposition ~~or statement~~ has two values: T or F OR 1 or 0.
We have learnt ~~how~~ how to create TT of a statement.

Now we learn how to check whether ~~two~~ two statements are logically equivalent -

Two ~~logical~~ statements are **logically equivalent** if they have same truth value for same situation.

Ex

Statement 1 - It will not rain or snow

Statement 2 - It will not rain and it will not snow

Solⁿ

Let P_1 : It will ~~not~~ rain

P_2 : It will snow

Statement 1: $\sim (P_1 \vee P_2)$

Statement 2: $\sim P_1 \wedge \sim P_2$

Let us find T.T of two statements.

Statement 1:

P_1	P_2	$P_1 \vee P_2$	$\sim (P_1 \vee P_2)$
1	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0
0	0	0	1

Statement 2:

P_1	P_2	$\sim P_1$	$\sim P_2$	$\sim P_1 \wedge \sim P_2$
1	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1

From above two T.T, we see that for same combination of P_1 & P_2 , final truth values are same.
Hence Statement 1 & Statement 2 are logically equivalent. pg-2

Here we must know that Boolean Algebra is associated with propositional logic and so De Morgan's Law is applicable here.

$$\begin{aligned} \sim(P_1 \vee P_2) &\equiv \sim P_1 \wedge \sim P_2 \\ \sim(P_1 \wedge P_2) &\equiv \sim P_1 \vee \sim P_2 \end{aligned}$$

Ex We have already proved that (1) $P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ is equivalent to $(\sim P_1 \vee P_2)$, (2) $\sim \sim P_1$ is equivalent to P_1 , (3) $\sim(P \rightarrow Q)$ is equivalent to $P \wedge (\sim Q)$.

~~Q~~ Practice at home.

Now we check two more connectives, **NAND** and **NOR**. NAND is equivalent to Not AND i.e., $\sim(P_1 \wedge P_2)$ and NOR is equivalent to Not OR i.e., $\sim(P_1 \vee P_2)$.

Lets explore NAND: $(\sim(P_1 \wedge P_2))$. T.T is

P_1	P_2	$P_1 \wedge P_2$	$\sim(P_1 \wedge P_2)$
T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T

P.T.D

T.T of NAND

P_1	P_2	$P_1 \wedge P_2$	$\sim(P_1 \wedge P_2)$
1	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1

T.T of NOR

P_1	P_2	$P_1 \vee P_2$	$\sim(P_1 \vee P_2)$
1	1	1	0
1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0
0	0	0	1

Complete Set of Connectives

A set of connectives is called a complete set of connectives if any formula can be expressed by using that set of connectives only.

For example, (\sim, \vee) is complete set of connectives.

Because \wedge can be expressed in terms of (\sim, \vee) by De Morgan's Law.

Ex

$$(P_1 \wedge P_2) \equiv \sim(P_1 \vee \sim P_2) \equiv$$

Similarly, (\sim, \wedge) is complete set of connectives.

But (\wedge, \vee) is not complete because \sim can't be expressed by using (\wedge, \vee) .

Here we know an interesting fact:- its symbol **NAND** is also called **Sheffer stroke** and its symbol is \downarrow .

We can express other connectives in terms of Sheffer stroke (\downarrow).

$\sim A$ T.T is

A	$\sim A$
1	0
0	1

①

From ① & ②,
See that
 $\sim A \equiv A \downarrow A$

$A \downarrow A$ T.T is
 $\equiv (A \text{ NAND } A)$
 $\equiv \sim(A \wedge A)$

A	A	$A \wedge A$	$\sim(A \wedge A)$
1	1	1	0
0	0	0	1

②

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \vee B &\equiv \sim(\sim A \wedge \sim B) \equiv \sim((A \mid A) \wedge (B \mid B)) \\
 &\equiv \sim((A \mid A) \mid (B \mid B)) \\
 &\equiv (A \mid A) \mid (B \mid B)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly try to show that \wedge can be expressed by \mid only.

So we can say that NAND i.e., Sheffer's stroke i.e., \mid is a complete set of connectives.

Home Exercise-1

Home Exercise - 1

- 1) Prove that statements $\sim (P \rightarrow Q)$ and $P \wedge \sim Q$ are logically equivalent a) by using Truth Table and b) ~~to~~ without using Truth Tables.
- 2) Check whether $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow R$ and $(P \rightarrow R) \vee (Q \rightarrow R)$ are logically equivalent.
- 3) Try to express AND (\wedge) in terms of Sheffer Stroke
i.e., $|$.
- 4) Try to express NOR in terms of Sheffer stroke
i.e., $|$.